1 2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

v.

TRACY RENAUD, et al.,

14

15

16

17

• /

18

20

19

2122

23

2425

26

28

27

MOHAMED AHMED KASSEM, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:21-cv-00946-DAD-SAB

ORDER RE: STIPULATION TO EXTEND

TIME FOR FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION

TO DISMISS

(ECF No. 26)

Defendants filed a motion to dismiss on June 9, 2022, which is currently pending before the District Judge. (ECF Nos. 23, 24.) On June 13, 2022, the District Judge issued a minute order noting the motion to dismiss would be decided on the papers and no hearing date would be scheduled unless the District Judge deemed it necessary. (ECF No. 24.) On June 26, 2022, the parties filed a stipulated request to extend the time for Plaintiffs to file an opposition to the motion by forty-five days. (ECF No. 26.) Plaintiffs proffer they need additional time to evaluate Defendants' motion. While this proffer of good cause is thin, the Court shall grant the stipulated request. The parties did not request a similar extension for Defendants' reply, but the Court shall grant such extension to Defendants as well. The Court additionally notes the parties request to vacate the hearing on the motion to dismiss. However, as the District Judge is deciding the motion on the pleadings and no hearing date is currently set, this request is moot.

Case 1:21-cv-00946-DAD-SAB Document 27 Filed 06/24/22 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, IT IS	
2	HEREBY ORDERED that:	
3	1.	The deadline for Plaintiff to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss is
4		extended to August 8, 2022; and
5	2.	The deadline for Defendant to file any reply briefing is extended to August 15,
6		2022.
7		
8	IT IS SO ORI	DERED.
9	Dated: Jui	
10		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		